Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,099	GUEST, JOHN DEREK
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	James M. Hewitt	3679
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>James M. Hewitt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dana Tangren</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		·
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 7 and 15		•
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	in summary of the substance interview. since the interview
·		
		· -
<u>k</u> M.L		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend the specification and claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to obviate certain informalities. The Examiner also informed the applicant's representative that Figure 8 would have to be corrected to address the objection noted in the Examiner's Amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to correcting Figure 8.